

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

REC'D 23 JUN 2005

WIBG

PCT

To:
PAUL T. PARKER
PERKINS COIE LLP
PATENTS-SEA
P.O. BOX 1247
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Date of mailing (day/month/year) | 21 JUN 2005 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

Applicant's or agent's file reference

341148026WO

International application No.

PCT/US05/01070

International filing date (day/month/year)

12 January 2005 (12.01.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year)

12 January 2004 (12.01.2004)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): A61B 5/05 and US Cl.: 600/424

Applicant

CALYPSO MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Scott M. Getzow

Telephone No. (123)456-7899

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/01070

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/01070

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----|
| Novelty (N) | Claims <u>1-87</u> | YES |
| | Claims <u>NONE</u> | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims <u>11-87</u> | YES |
| | Claims <u>1-10</u> | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims <u>1-87</u> | YES |
| | Claims <u>NONE</u> | NO |

2. Citations and explanations:

Claim 1-10 do not meet the criteria for inventive step under PCT Article 33(3). The application to Govari is considered to render the claims obvious. One of ordinary skill would know that such a device can be used with an electrode attached to the body which is implanted in the patient.

Claims 11-87 meet the criteria for inventive step under PCT Article 33(3). The prior art of record does not fairly teach the use of a radiographic centroid and an alternating magnetic field.

Claims 1-87 meet the criteria for novelty under PCT Article 33(2). The prior art does not anticipate the claimed invention in a single reference.

Claims 1-87 meet the criteria for industrial applicability under PCT Article 33(4). The invention is indeed useful in the medical arts.